# Notes made during annotating.

1. Notion of fairness/fair: interpreted as an egalitarian view. Found in:
   1. COP19JAPAN
2. There are a lot of “statement of intent”
3. If referring to limitarian – should really by about money?
4. Example of connected sentence:
   1. Second, Japan will promote the application of Japanese low-carbon. In so doing , we will contribute to global emissions reductions through the use of the Joint Crediting Mechanism, or JCM . > uiteindelijk niet eens gebruikt
5. Topic category: other(implementation) – urging to implement the decisions made during previous or current cops
6. To include in list: millennium development goals
7. Vraag: hoe definieer ik de “principles and provisions of the UNFCCC” - In essence egalitarian, in context it can be Prioritarian

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DOCUMENT** | **STATEMENT** | **NOTES** |
| COP19JAPAN | In this regard, Japan firmly supports the establishment of a post-2020  framework. Such a framework must be based on “nationally-determined” commitment  and an effective international transparency mechanism. | Relevance: YES  Topic: New UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures Scale: Global  Time: nearby future  Principle: Libertarain  Motivation: national commitment, minimizing the interference of a global institution leaving a country free to make own choices |
| ! | Mr. President:    Japan reaffirms the goal to reduce emissions by 50 percent at the global  level and by 80 percent in the developed world by 2050. | Relevance: YES  Topic: New UNFCCC policy  Unit: emissions Scale: Global, multinationa(developed)  Time: distant future  Principle: Prioritarianism  Motivation:  For the benefit of all (utilitarian), referring to efforts by all (egalitarian), distinction between developed and developing (Prioritarian).  Not limitarian as not focussing on money.   * Presents a value judgement about how global emission reductions should be redistributed, with developed countries bearing a greater burden. |
|  | We will mobilize official development assistance, other official flows, and  private finance in the field of mitigation and adaptation. | Relevance: YES  Topic: Mitigation, adaptation  Unit: financial resources, other(assistance) Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation:  not applicable to a specific distribution, does imply value judgement on redistribution of resources. |
| ! | We will provide support to developing countries totaling 1.6 trillion yen,  about 16 billion US dollars, during the 3-year period from 201 3 to 201 5. | Relevance: YES  Topic: policy target  Unit: financial resources,  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: prioritarian  Motivation:  Contains a value judgement about how resources should be distributed to address the global challenge of climate change. Prescribing judgement about distribution of financial support to developing countries. |
| COP19NAMIBIA  ! | We look forward to constructively engage and contribute to the discussions and ensure that COP19 is a resounding success with balanced outcomes that would give hope to our aspirations in our Endeavour to address challenges posed by climate change. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: present  Principle: Egalitarian  Motivation: Value judgement of how outcomes should look, referring to balanced outcomes, thus egalitarian.  *Could argue that it is normative* |
| ! | It should not only enable us to discuss global environmental challenges our world faces, but more importantly, it should pave way to key decisions that would put climate change action at the fore-front of all developmental processes and giving hope to our future generations. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: present  Principle: Utilitarian  Motivation: value judgement about COP outcomes. Referring to global challenges and referring to the need to take on action for present and future generations.  *Could argue that this is normative* |
| ! | Global warming is a catastrophic problem that needs a global solution. | Topic: General climate change  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: utilitarian  Motivation: Value judgement on the need of a global solution in benefit of all.  *Could also argue that this is normative and testable.* |
|  | In this view, we urge developed country parties to respect and adhere to the principles and provisions of the UNFCCC. | Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles  Unit: measures  Scale: multinational(developed countries)  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian  Motivation: Prescribing the need to follow principles of the UNFCCC, explicitly urging developed countries, making it prioritarian. |
| ! | We also call on Annex-1 Parties to the Convention to avoid procrastination and urgently implement the commitments made so far, in line scientific findings of the IPCC. | Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles  Unit: measures  Scale: multinational(Annex-1 countries)  Time: n.a.  Principle: General normative statement  Motivation: Advocating for action of annex-1 parties. No specific distribution preferred, only specifying urgency. |
| ! | This Platform should not be misinterpreted to fit the interests of some. | Relevance: yes  Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles  Unit: n.a.  Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: General normative statement  Motivation: Does not present a link to a specific distribution or reasoning as to why this should not be done.  *Could be interpreted as egalitarian*  *Could be interpreted as not relevant in the context of normative in the context of distributive justice (current label)* |
|  | In our opinion, the new legally binding instrument should take into account the principles and provisions of the UNFCCC particularly the historical responsibility, right to development of developing countries equitable share of atmospheric space and respective capabilities. | Relevance: yes  Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles, new UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures  Scale:global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Prescribing the need to follow principles of the UNFCCC, explicitly referring to equitable shares and respective capabilities.  *Could in the context of this country also be prioritarian* |
| ! | Namibia believes that in order to achieve a comprehensive new agreement under the Durban Platform, we need a science-based approach to disentangle and unfold conceptual and statistical aspects underpinning issues related to historical responsibility, equity and respective capability. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures  Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Prescribing a specifc approach to developing new policy. No specific distribution preferred.  *Specific naming of issues could be referred to egalitarain* |
| ! | Therefore, it is the hope of the citizens of the world that 19 years down the line, we should have better addressed the challenges and well equipped ourselves to better deal with climate change. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy, mitigation, adaptation  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: nearby future  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Expressing value judgement about what should be achieved: better addressing challenges and equipment. No mention of how.  *Could be argued to be utilitarian; focus on the benefit of all.* |
|  | We need to move forward and effectively implement our UNFCCC’s decisions. | Relevance: yes  Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles, other(implementation)  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: nearby future  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Prescribing the urge to implementation, no specific mention of the distribution |
| COP19SIERRALEONE | Mr. President, Sierra Leone submits that adaptation must assume a prominent place on the climate agenda with a balance view to necessary mitigation actions, if we are to overcome poverty and achieve the Millennium Development Goals. | Relevance: yes  Topic: adaptation, mitigation, other(Millenium Development Goals)  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: sufficientarian  Motivation: explicitly referring to the reason for prescribing a balanced view of mitigation and adaptation to have the world reach sufficient targets. |
| !!! | *Asking for commitments to funding promises*  In view of the above, my delegation joins the LDCs to ask for clarity in terms of financial sources and pledges to the Green Climate Fund. | Relevance: NO  Statement of a fact on a stance, no specific value judgement.  *Topic: adaptation, mitigation, other(Millenium Development Goals)*  *Unit: financial resources*  *Scale: n.a.*  *Time: n.a.*  *Principle: Prioritarian*  *Motivation: Value judgement about the need for clarity with regards to the climate fund. Indirectly referring to prioritarian principle* |
| ! | Mr. President, my delegation welcomes the further efforts of COP to ensure that parties mainstream gender-related consideration into National policies and programs, provide capacity building and greater participation of women in all climate change issues especially women in developing countries. | Relevance: yes  Topic: other(participation of woman)  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Contains the value judgement and prescription of including women in new policies. Presenting an egalitarian view. |
| COP19TIMORLESTE  ! | We believe that, Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMAs) will fully operationalized with strong supports provided by the Annex I countries under the non market mechanism one. | Relevance: yes  Topic: other(implementation), other(support)  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Expressing a judgement about the implementation which only be sufficient with support of Annex 1 |
| ! | We urge parties to take decisions on simplification of mechanism and procedures for both CDM and accessing climate finance by developing country parties with recognizing of the country ownership, direct access and transparency principles. | Relevance: yes  Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles  Unit: measures, financial resources  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: prioritarian  Motivation: Value judgement on the need to simplify measures and improving the situation of the worst off. |
| Very clear description of prioritarian | In this regard, developed country parties should take the lead, including finance, technology and capacity building for developing countries to address adaptation measures. | Relevance: yes  Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles  Unit: financial resources, technological resources  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian  Motivation: Prescribing moral judgement of developed countries needing to take the lead and support developing countries. |
|  | Adaptation and mitigation activities in developing countries will depend on support provided by the developed country parties. | Relevance: yes  Topic: adaptation, mitigation, moral responsiblity  Unit: other(general support)  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian  Motivation: Prescribing moral judgement of the necessity of developed countries to support developing countries. |
| ! | Our future generation will proud of us, if we are willing to stop their furure through a  consensus and right decision we made here, in Warsaw. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new unfccc policy  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: present  Principle: utilitarian  Motivation: Prescribing moral judgement of the need to take on action for the benefit of future generations. |
| COP19USA  !!! | • This kind of structure, based on a spectrum of mitigation commitments, self-differentiated across the broad range of evolving national circumstances and capabilities, and in this way consistent with the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities, is the only kind that we can see producing the ambitious, inclusive agreement we need. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy, other(CBDR), other(self-differentiation), mitigation  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian, libertarian  Motivation: Moral judgement on the need of accounting for self-differentiation and accounting for CBDR.  *Could argue that it is mainly libertarian as the way the distribution should be made is self-differentiated* |
| !! | If those categories are to be operational in character  in other words, determine who has what kind of obligations and responsibilities  they must evolve to reflect changing circumstances. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy, moral responsibility  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Value judgement on the need to have evolving categories to determine responsibilities.  *A lot of double layers. In context of USA, tempted to take libertarian into account as well. Other would be egalitarian, with the idea that the determination should be equal.* |
|  | • And as we work overtime in the UNFCCC, we must also expand the field of international cooperation to include complementary initiatives that can make tangible progress right now to address the key drivers of greenhouse gas emissions. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy, other(complementary policy), mitigation  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Statement on the need for cooperation to take on action. No specific distribution.  *What would international cooperation mean?* |
|  | • Simultaneously, we are fully engaged in crafting a new international climate agreement for the post-2020 period that is ambitious, effective, and durable. | Not relevant. Currently doing this. |
| Example of ambiguity of sentences | If those categories are to be operational in character  in other words, determine who has what kind of obligations and responsibilities  they must evolve to reflect changing circumstances. | Readable as a fact and readable like a moral judgement presenting judgement about the need to take on this evolving element. |
| Example of ambiguity of sentences  !! |  It is for this reason that President Obama and the U.S. government are hard at work to help solve our shared climate challenge. | Readable as a fact, also readable as judgement on the fact that climate change is a shared challenge of all. |
| COP20HOLYSEA | As Pope Francis said in his Message to our Conference, the issues we are debating “affects all humanity, especially the poor and future gencrations: [...] it is a serious ethical and moral responsibility [...] ‘There is a clear, definite and urgent cthical imperative to act |...) We can only find adequate solutions if we act together”. | Relevance: yes  Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Normative statement about the way a global problem can be solved by acting together as it affects all  *Could argue for utilitarian* |
|  | If we remain inactive in addressing climate change, even before drafling a new agreement, we already violate equity, one of the core principles of the Convention. | Relevance: yes  Topic: UNFCCC agreements and principles  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Judgement of the violation of equity, in essence an egalitarian idea.  *Could argue for Prioritarian (very implicit)* |
| ! | Let us work together for the common good rather than point at each other and pass responsibility to others. | Relevance: yes  Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: utilitarian  Motivation: Specifying the need to work together for the common good, the benefit of all.   *Could argue for egalitarian* |
| ! | We must also consider the central factor of education: education aimed at fostering a sense of responsibility in children and adults towards environmentally sound patterns of development, the stewardship of creation, and solidarity among people. | Relevance: yes  Topic: other(education)  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: General normative statement highlighting the importance of the inclusion of education in new policy. |
| !! Could be limitarian | There is no room for the globalization of indifference, the economy of exclusion or the  throwaway culture so foten denounced by Pope Francis. | Relevance: yes  Topic: moral responsibility, other(exclusion), other(throwaway culture)  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: General normative statement to take on action, suggesting to include all and steer away from overconsumption |
| ! | ‘lhe current lifestyle with its throwaway culture is unsustainable and should have no place in our lives. | Relevance: yes  Topic: other(throwaway culture)  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Urge to steer away from current lifestyles. No specific mention of a distribution or reason why. |
| COP20KENYA  ! | Climate change poses a serious global challenge as  evidenced b y science and therefore requires an urgent and  immediate action by all . | Relevance: yes  Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: nearby future  Principle: Urging for global action, referring to egalitarian, reason is that it is a global challenge, utilitarian.  *Doubting the double labelling* |
|  | As part of the roadmap agreed in Durban, Doha and  Warsaw , Lima must produce the elements of a draft  negotiation text for the post -2015 Agreement in accordance  with the objective, principles and provisions of the  Convention . | This primarily describes what the decisions precent. Implicit referencing for a particular action. In theory, could be able to check if this was indeed agreed on. |
| !! | The Agreement must address all the elements  of mitigation, adaptation, finance, technology, capacity - building, transparency of action, and support in a  comprehensive and balanced manner without om itting the  aspects of loss and damage . | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy, adaptation, mitigation, loss and damage  Unit: emissions, financial resources, technological resources  Scale: global  Time: nearby future  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: No specific link to a distribution that is preferred. However there is judgement on the need to address all elements.  *Could argue for egalitarian?* |
| !! | The INDCs must reflect the  efforts Parties are willing to contribute towards the  enhanced implementation of their obligations under the  convention as enshrined in its Article 4. | Relevance: yes  Topic: UNFCCC principles and agreements  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: prescribing the need for implementation of article 4, however, this could be a lot of things, in essence as egalitarian principle  *Could argue for general normative statement* |
| COP20MICRONESIA  !!! | The major polluters, especially those who are most responsible for climate change, have the moral obligation to do much more, to take the lead and to raise their ambition now. | Relevance: yes  Topic: moral responsiblity  Unit: measures  Scale: multinational(major polluters)  Time: present  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Describing judgement on the need to take responsibility, but no specific distribution named.  *Could argue for Prioritarian?* |
|  | Mr. President, Not only can we still attain an ambitious agreement, but we can also prevent some of the worst impacts of climate change in the near term, but only if we take fast and ambitious actions now, such as using the Montreal Protocol to reduce the powerful greenhouse gases HFCs. | Not relevant  *Could argue for it being a normative statement, but does not say anything else than just needing general action, no mention of a specific distribution only following the agreement on GHG* |
| COP20REPKOREA | In addition, we are stepping up our efforts in climate finance for the global community, and will  increase our contribution to the Green Climate Fund up to 100 million dollars . | *Defined as a statement of intent, not something normative.*  *Could be argued for Prioritarian (as GCF), but essentially no call for other action.*  *Good example of ambiguousness where focussing on OUR would change normative meaning of the sentence* |
|  | Second, the agreement should be relevant to all . | Relevance: Yes  Topic: New UNFCCC policy  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarain  Motivation: relevance to all in this case indicates both the need for all to take on action as an egalitarain idea that it should apply to everyone. |
| ! | Last but not least, the agreement should also lay solid foundation for transparency and  accountability with a clear set of rules. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: other(accountability), new UNFCCC policy,  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement Motivation: Call for clear set of rules to enable transparency and accountability. No specific distribution  *Could argue for more of an egalitarian view, with regards to transparency* |
| ! | This is crucial to generate confidence on durability and credibility of the new system, not just  among parties, but for the “outside world” as well. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: New UNFCCC policy, durability, credibility  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global *people vs policymakers*  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement Motivation: Expression of the need for durability and credibility among all, also outside the conference.  *Could argue for more of an egalitian view, with equality between “the people” and policymakers.* |
| COP20TONGA  !! | With only 0.01 % of global emissions produced by the Pacific as a region, Tonga   understands that we co -exist in a Global Commons, and that as a global family we   must foster global partnerships as a measure to catalyze global ambitions. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global *people vs policymakers*  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian Motivation: the co-existence in a shared world where we should work together to improve this world, prescribing the need to work together. (egalitarain) *Could argue for it being utilitarian, needing global partnership for the benefit of all.* |
| Good example of sufficientarian? | Yet, in light of our limited human resources, and economic capacity, we as one of the  worlds most vulnerable countries, have no alternative but to leave no stone unturned,  or leave anything to chance, and spare no expense , because no monetary value can be  ascribed to ‘one’s ri ght to exist’. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: other(right to exist)  Unit: n.a.  Scale: national  Time: n.a.  Principle: sufficientarian Motivation: moral judgement on the right to exist. Setting a minimum threshold. |
| COP20TONGAPSIDS | We must all work collectively, with a sense of urgency and purpose, to  address these challenges a nd to support all island countries to become more  resilient. | Relevance: yes  Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: measures, other(general support)  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian, egalitarain  Motivation: working collectively and supporting the worst off, in this case island countries. |
| ! | The guidance to the financing mechanisms should call for flexibility for  SIDS and allow for replication of best practices, and should be commensurate to  needs in the region. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy, other(financial mechanism)  Unit: financial resources  Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Accounting for the differences between locations, to all have access to financial resources. |
|  | Climate  change has as much if not more destructive power than the scourge of terrorism,  and we do not hear leaders talk about setting up a voluntary market based  response to counter terrorism! | Define as a comparison, not a strictly normative statement. Also does not call for a new distribution. Does imply that there is a need to take on action. |
|  | It is essential that resource mobilization, support and building the capacity  at the national and regional levels to address the issues related to loss and damage  continues by establishing a financial facility to complement the work of the  Executive Committee as it implements its work plan. | Relevance: yes  Topic: other(resources), other(loss and damage)  Unit: financial resources  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: prioritarian  Highlighting the importance of redistribution of resources in light of loss and damage. Resources should be redistributed to help the worst off. |
| COP21AFGHANISTAN  ! | It is therefore, of utmost importance that we reach out toward all the countries attending this historic  and august gathering to agree on a legally-binding mechanism in order to save our planet from further  damage caused by Climate Change. | Relevance: yes  Topic: new UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarain, utilitarian  Motivation: Egalitarian in urging all countries, for the reason to save the planet, both utilitarain and egalitarain |
| COP21EU | We owe this to the outside world: our citizens and our children. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: n.a.  Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: utilitarian  Motivation: moral judgement on the need to take on action for the benefit of all. |
| COP21NEPAL  ! | Hence, I strongly call upon the countries with higher emissions to take leadership in reducing their emissions to secure a safer planet. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: emissions  Scale:other(countries with higher emissions)  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Indication of foundational belief that others that emit more have the responsibility to take on action. |
| COP21TRINIDADANDTOBAGO  ! | Addressing the challenge of climate change will require a  committed and ambitious global effort over the remainder of this  century. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: other(action)  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: distant future  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Indication of foundational belief that others that emit more have the responsibility to take on action. |
|  | It is for  these reasons that a critical element of the Paris agreement must  be the establishment of arrangements to address loss and  damage. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: other(loss and damage  Unit: n.a.  Scale: n.a.  Time: present  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: General call for the inclusion of loss and damage in the new agreement.  *Could argue for Prioritarian?* |
| Good example of utilitarian | We must not miss this opportunity to secure the survival of future  generations of humankind. | Relevance: Yes  Topic: other(action  Unit: n.a.  Scale: n.a.  Time: present  Principle: utilitarian  Motivation: Presenting the value of needing to protect the survival of humankind, thus benefit of all. |
| COP22BELIZE  ! | As we move forward , we must employ an  inclusive , long-term and collaborative process to build the resilience of our  economic, social and environmental systems . | Topic: New UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures  Scale: n.a.  Time: nearby future  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Advocating the need for an inclusive process. *Could advocate for general normative statement* |
| Is transparancy something that is ultimately egalitarian? | We must establish the accounting guidelines, develop a  compliance mechanism, begin to build a robust transparency framework , and  global stock -take process with a view to completing our work as early as  2017. | Does contain a normative idea of the need for transparancy and review of the work. No specific distribution described. |
| COP22ISRAEL | We invite countries worldwide to come to Israel, to  learn about our efforts and to share in our cutting -edge  technologies for climate change mitigation and  adaptation . | Not seen as a normative statement. Primarily serves as an invitation.  *However does contain a normative element in the need to share* |
| !! | Third , capacity -building support for climate action is critical for developing countries  and should be based on and responsive to national needs, foster countr y ownership,  participatory, and cross -cutting. | Topic: new UNFCCC policy  Unit: other(support)  Scale: multinational(developing countries)  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: support should be based on individual country needs and support ownership, referring to differences between countries, but also the need to help.  *Could argue for libertarian, focussing on country ownership.* |
| COP23CROATIA | We find that for this capacity building and transfer of experience are  of crucial importance. | Topic: Capacity building, experience  Unit: n.a.  Scale: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Prescribes the need for capacity building and transfer of experience. No mention of where to. |
| COP23IRELAND  Example of sufficientarian | I firmly believe that COP23 is not just about the technical rule book, but as political leaders in our  home countries, we must provide practical, implementable sol utions to the real challenges of  both mitigation and adaptation, while living up to the responsibility of Sustainable Development  Goals. | Topic: adaptation, mitigation, new UNFCCC policy  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: present  Principle: sufficientarian  Motivation: Prescribing the need to take on action as a way to reach the sustainable development goals, global targets of sufficiency. |
| COP23NETHERLANDS  !! | We should  make the most of these opportunities by developing  and implementing innovative solutions, enabling our  businesses to contribute to, and benefit from, the  global transition towards a carbon -neutral economy . | Topic: implementation, business  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Libertarian  Motivation: Judgement on value of having businesses benefit, focussing on the economy.  *No true focus on freedom* |
| COP23SURINAME  !! | It is a commitment we make as a nation, meaning in fact an undertaking that will require science a technology, expertise, technical support, and above all, the necessary financial resources and the pollical will of the global community in a durable Partnership”. | Not relevant. Stating a fact, referring to the need for support from other countries, but more as a political requirement. |
| COP23VANATU  *Nice one to test in Kahoot?* | We believe that rapid implementation of the Paris Agreement is of existential importance. | Topic: implementation, UNFCCC agreements and policies  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: utilitarian  Motivation: Prescribing the need to take on action from the base that this is existential, a utilitarian statement. |
|  | Mr President, we wish to see that vulnerability to climate change and natural disasters be considered in the graduation preparation of countries from LDCs; as natural disasters such as tropical cyclone can entirely erase a country’s economy in a few hours. | Topic: vulnerability, graduation from LDC  Unit: n.a.  Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Addressing the moral imperative to include vulnerability, no specific distribution preferred.  *Could argue for Prioritarian? But a bit farfetched.* |
| COP24INDONESIA | We could not accept the use of environmental issues including climate to be a means of  disguise trade dis crimination against developing countries. | Topic: discrimination  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Presenting position against the discrimination of developing countries.  *Could argue to be general normative, but discrimination seems like egalitarian* |
| COP24MACEDONIA | We need to forge a circle of trust among  politicians, scientists, entrepreneurs, educators, activists, because we are not racing  against each other, but together as a team. | Topic: trust  Unit: n.a  Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Describing the desirable state of people needing to work together. Not a specific preferred distribution.  *Could be egalitarian?* |
| COP24MALAWI | *In the year 2015, the country  experienced unprecedented devastating floods that affected 1.1  million people, displaced 230,000 people, killed 176 people with 172  people reported missing .*  This is climate injustice against humanity. | Topic: natural disasters  Unit: n.a.  Scale: national  Time: past  Principle: utilitarian  Motivation: It is morally wrong to let these disasters happen as it is against the benefit of all.  *Could argue for general normative statement* |
|  | All forms of finance –  public and private, domestic and international – are essential and they  should be seen as complementary and mutually reinforcing Finance  must be coupled wi th relevant technology tran sfer and capacity  building for implementation of ambitious emission reduction targets. | Topic: mitigation  Unit: financial resources, technological resources  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Prescribes the need to combine technology and capacitybuilding, no specific mention of a distribution. |
| COP24RWANDA | The   report should be a wakeup call to all Parties to raise their ambition. | *Prescribing moral obligation to take up action. Not sufficient to say that this is egalitarian?*  *Note: the report refers to IPCC report* |
| !!! | 1  The Paris Agreement Work Programme should be robust, balanced and comprehensive but   also flexible enough to allow every country to continuously raise its ambition and report on its   implementation efforts. | Topic: New UNFCCC policy  Unit: n.a.  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Libertarian  Motivation: Prescribing the need for a fair agreement, but focus on the flexibility, in this case freedom for each country to do its own thing.  *Could argue for egalitarian, basing on the robust and balanced.* |
| GOED VOORBEELD | Parties must strengthen   their commitment by going above and beyond the 100 billion dollars pledged per year. | Topic: climate action  Unit: financial resources  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle:general normative statement  Motivation: Prescribing the moral obligation to make financial commitments. No specification on redistribution. *Could argue for Prioritarian in the context of the whole text. Knowing that the 100Billion is referring to the green climate fund, does not show this in the other sentences.* |
| !! | We must also work with the private sector to mobilise   the trillions of dollars needed to halt global warming and ensure all investments are climate   friendly. | Topic: private sector  Unit: financial resources  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: general normative statement  Motivation: Focus on working with the private sector applying rules. No specific distribution.  *Initial thought: libertarian as the the private sector is named* |
| COP25CAMBODIA | However, we remain gravely concerned that the level of commitments registered to date fall  far short of what is needed to achieve the 1.5°C target, and put us on a path that would see  dramatic consequences for the economies and societies of Least Developed Countries. | General normative statement about the need to take on action, large consequences to LDC, thus taking on action would be in the benefit of the worst off. Thus Prioritarian.  *Could argue for general normative statement* |
| ! | Finally, I strong ly hope that our negotiations here will deliver the concrete outcomes toward  the implementation of the Paris Agreement, as we enter a crucial year for advancing global  ambition and actions. | General normative statement. Value judgement on the need to take on action, as it is deemed a crucial year. No link to specific principle |
| COP25MALAYSIA  !! | At this COP, Parties are being urged to raise ambitions | Not normative. Statement of a fact.  *Could be read as a general normative statement focussing on prescribing the need to raise ambitions.* |
| !! | We would like to recall that the Convention obliges developed  country Parties to lead mitigation actions . | Topic: moral responsibility  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Prescribing the moral obligation of developed countries to take the lead.  *Not completely sure about the classicifaction of obligation to take on action as egalitarian; following the idea of equality of opportunity.* |
| COP25MAURITIUS | We might be on the verge of failing to meet the promises of the Paris Agreement and lose the trust of our people, if we do not act quickly and effectively. | Not relevant.  It does not prescribe what should be done or what should be the case. Suggest undesirable outcome as a reason to take on action. |
|  | To achieve this goal, we need to inter alia :- (i) achieve the USD 100 billion mobilization target by developed countries and set up appropriate predictable and accessible financial support structure to assist developing countries to achieve their adaptation and mitigation objectives; (ii) finalise the review of the Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage which is so significant for the most vulnerable developing countries such as the SIDS and Africa; and (iii) find consensus over the Article 6 for a transparent carbon market mechanism structure. | Not relevant. It presents a list of elements that are seen as important, outlining actions and objectives.  *Listing these elements can be normative, but does not contain a true prescriptive element. However, it can in the foundation be seen as Prioritarian to highlight these elements.* |
| COP25SERBIA  !! | Nonetheless , the most expensive scenario for Serbia is to ignore the climate related costs  in its strategic decisions. | *Not deemed to be a normative statement. Does contain elements of normativity by indicating the need to take on actions, but no specific distribution or prescription. Currently read this as a fact.* |
| COP25UGANDA | However as we finalise the rules for markets and non market mechanisms, we call the need to maintain environmental integrity, ensure adaptation mechanisms are an intergral part of article 6 and move beyond pure offsetting of emissions and deliver overall mitigation in global emissions, avoid double counting, not allow the carryover of pre-2020 Kyoto units to the Paris Agreement or other accounting loopholes, and deliver a share of proceeds from the market mechanisms for the Adaptation Fund | *Essentially a call for fair agreements.*  *Could argue for a general normative statement, the end also referring to the adaptation fund, which can be seen as essentially Prioritarian.* |
| !! | Madam President That notwithstanding, my delegation looks forward to seeing a balanced treatment of the mitigation and adaptation issues in the draft decisions and conclusions to be proposed in Madrid. | *On first glance, this is not a normative statement, describing expectations. However, the expectations itself are normative, asking for a balanced treatment of mitigation and adaptation. No specific distribution prescribed.* |
| COP26BARBADOS | Failure to provide this critical finance and that of loss and damage is measur ed in lives and  livelihoods being lost in our communities. It is immoral and unjust. | Topic: loss and damage  Unit: financial resources  Scale: n.a.  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Moral judgement on the obligation to take on action with not taking on action beeing unjust. |
| !! | Are we really going to leave Scotland without the resolve and ambition that is sorely needed to  save lives and to save our planet? | *Implicit moral judgement on the need to take on action from a utilitarian idea to save lives.*  *Could argue for it being just a question* |
| !!! | Can there be peace and prosperity in one third of the world if two thirds are UNDER siege and  facing calamitous threats to their wellbeing? | *Moral judgement on the need to do something to help the worst off.*  *Could argue for it being just a question.``* |
| !!! interesting in contextual sence | An annual increase in SDRs of $500bn for 20 years put in a Trust to finance the transition is the  REAL gap we need to close, not the $50bn being proposed FOR adaptation. | *Topic: finance, adaptation, moral responsibility*  *Unit: financial resources:*  *Scale: global*  *Time: n.a*  *Principle: Prioritarian*  *Motivation: focus on the redistribution of money from the wealthiest to least wealthiest. Generally calling for the redistribution of resources, contextwise Prioritarian*  *Could argue for general normative statement.* |
| COP26GRANADA | We must seize this opportunity to show that we have the  courage to take the decisive, ambitious and urgent actions that  we all know are so desperately needed……...I thank you. | *Topic: action*  *Unit: measures*  *Scale: global*  *Time: present*  *Principle: utilitarian*  *Motivation: judgement on the need to take on action, in the benefit of all.* |
| COP26PHILIPPiNES  !!!! BESPREKEN | Those who have polluted and continue to pollute the Earth's  environment through unthinking industrialization starting 200 years ago must pay for  the grants, investments, and subsidies needed for the most vulnerable countries to  adapt to climate change. | accounting for the historical pollutions, in this case more focussed on that making it an obligation to help the worst off. |
| COP26RUSSIA  !!! Goed in contrast met volgende | Your Excellences, Ladies and Gentlemen, Addressing global warming and prevention of climate change depends on the ability of all nations to set aside differences and join together in resolving these challenges. | Not a normative statement as it gives a description of what is required. Does have a normative element in stating the requirement to work together. |
|  | Climate change requires all countries to work together and share knowledge and tools. | Normative statement prescribing the need to work together, containing a normative element, however no value judgements or prescribing what ought to be the case. Primarily descriptive in what is required.  Verdict; not relevant. |
| Example of not knowing what is fair in the context of a statement. | It is critical to develop common fair and transparent rules for carbon pricing measurement and ensure their multilateral recognition and comparability between countries. | Egalitarian  Moral obligation to develop a fair framework in carbon princing.  Good example of not knowing what fair looks like in this context. |
| ! | It is our deep belief that addressing global warming and climate change should not lead to further increase of poverty.  Not just in the developing but in the developed world as well. | Topic: action, implementation  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian  Motivation: Moral judgement on climate change measures not harming the already worse off. In this case prioritarian view of protecting them.  *Note: this is Prioritarian on both international as national level, currently not taking into account.* |
|  | Transition to new economy should be based on an equilibrium where investment returns and long-term asset value increase will be balanced by reliable energy supply that meets growing energy demand as well as the goals of reduction and absorption of greenhouse gas emissions. | Could argue for It to be libertarian with the focus on markets, however more descriptive of how the equilibrium should look. |
| COP26SERBIA | 43% of our post -pandemic recovery funds  will support sustainab le green transition in transport, industry or  buildings, while not leaving the most vulnerable behind. | Contains both descriptive and normative elements. Focussing on what is supported but also highlighting the focus on the most vulnerable. This most vulnerable element indicates that there is a sufficiency level that can be reached.  Labelled as sufficientarian |
| COP27AUSTRALIA  *Example of combined sentences* | For everyone  around the world facing natural disasters that are  increasingly un natural, Australia is acting. And for them, the world must act. | Topic: moral responsibility, actions  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian  Motivation: moral judgement on the need to act to help the worst off; the ones facing disastes. |
| Example sentence | The future of energy is renewable – not just for  Australia, but for the world. | More descriptive, describing belief about a future direction. Includes some value judgement in favour of renewable energy, but is not prescribing anything. |
|  | Climate change is a primary economic and security  challenge for our region – and an existential threat to the  Blue Pacific continent. | Statement of a fact, contains normative element in noting the existential threat, but could be checked. |
|  | Our co -hosting will seek  to accelerate global action and harness the economic  opportunities from the clean ener gy transition. | Not prescribing, no moral judgement. More descriptive. |
| ! | But while Australia has increased our ambition and  renewed our commitment, we know there’s more to be  done. | General normative statement on the need to do more, but no preference to a specific distribution. |
| !! | Because while we talk about the risks of climate change  – we also need to think about the immense economic  opportunities which come with action. | Primarily descriptive, does presents some characteristics of libertarianism, focussing on the economic opportunities. |
| !! | We all know this is this critical decade. | Primarily a descriptive statement. However, you do feel the normative element of the sentence urging to take action as it is a critical decade. In the context of this task; not relevant. |
| Example of connecting sentences, individually they do not make sense. | This means national action. But it also means  multilateral action.  And action by every single one of our multilateral  institutions. | Topic: action, institutions  Unit: measures  Scale; global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarianism  Motivation: moral judgement on the need for all to take on action. |
| !! – ander soort distributielevel – global institutions | Just as we commit to this agenda as individual nations,  our multilateral development banks – including the  World Bank – must be wholeheartedly committed to this,  from their purpose to their actions. | Specific focus on banks to take on action. Labelled as egalitarian and global, but essentially it is not about the responsibility of countries but institutions. However, the underlying principle is still egalitarian. |
|  | This will mean increasing the proportion of funding spent  on climate, but also ensuring that such funding doesn’t  saddle developing countries with unsustai nable debt. | Labelled as egalitarian, making sure that all are treated equally.  *Could argue for prioritarian* |
| COP27KAZAKHSTAN  ! | There is a critical need to  leverage knowle dge-sharing and technology -transfer,  including within the Paris Agreement. | Labelled as descriptive statement with a normative element. Prescribes knowledge sharing but no true reason why. |
| COP27GREECE | I see no tension between safeguarding the present and investing in the future. | Descriptive statement, expressing a belief.  *Generally speaking – complete speech very descriptive.* |
|  | Radical because I really belive in the energy transition. It is imperative. Unavoidable. | Descriptive statement, expressing a belief, no prescription of action. *Could argue for the statement to be a generally normative and prescribing the need to take on action.* |
| !! | The energy transition is not just about mitigating risk. It is an opportunity. And it is a strategic necessity. | Moral responsibility. Need to take on action, but not explicitly describing this.  *Could argue for it being descriptive.* |
| COP27SERBIA  !! | • We take seriously our task to prepare the Republic of Serbia for all challenges and  concurrently contribute to the global fight against climate change . | Descriptive statement of how Serbia views its task. Implicit prescription of the fact that others should contribute as well. |
| COP27SOUTHSUDAN | Therefore, lifesaving interventions are needed urgently else the prospect of climate induced displacement and climate refugees will become a new normal. | Descriptive summary of what would happen. Implicit normative element for the moral obligation to take on action.  *Could argue for it being a Prioritarian statement in the benefit of the ones already running. > currently labelled as Prioritarian* |
|  | On climate finance, South Sudan believes that the 100 billion dollars that was arbitrarily set is no longer sufficient. | Primarily descriptive, does contain a normative element prescribing the need for more money. |
| Good example of implicit but calling for a distribution | We therefore appeal to the developed countries to fulfil their pledges and to avail the necessary funds for Adaptation projects. | Topic: Moral responsibility  Unit: financial resources  Scale; global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian motivation: prescribing the need for redistribution to ultimately help the ones worst off due to climate consequences. |
| ! | We therefore appeal to all parties that have not submitted their NDCs to do so urgently and for the developed countries parties to drastically cut their emissions such that we keep the 1.5 degrees goal alive. | Topic: moral responsibility, mitigation, reporting  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: egalitarian  Motivation: Prescribing all countries to take on action for a global goal.  *Does also prescribe an element specifically fucussing on developed parties, would still be egalitarian?* |
| COP28BELGIUM | In Europe we need to create the right incentives to support our economy in  realizing this ambition. | General normative statement on the need to provide incentives. NO specific distribution provided. |
|  | We will not build a climate paradise on an industrial wasteland. | Context presents information on the need to include ecology and economy. No specific distribution preferred.  *Could argue for it being a general normative statement with regards to new distributions. ``* |
| COP28GAMBIA | Being one of the c ountries most affected by climate change, our  shared goal must be to bridge the gap between aspiration and  action , through strong g lobal cooperation. | Topic: implementation  Unit: measures  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Principle: Prioritarian  Motivation Moral judgement on the need to formulate a goal to cooperate, mainly in the benefit of countries that are most affected; prioritarian.  *Could argue for egalitarian. This one is mainly context based.* |
| Good example of different principle in the context of the text.  Essentially egalitarian, contextual Prioritarian.  !!! | We encourage the  international community to honour their commitments to  international agreements, particularly the Paris Agreement. | Topic: moral repsonsiblity  Unit: commitments  Scale: global  Time: n.a.  Motivation: Prescription on the need for all parties to honor international commiments. |
|  | Adequate and predictable funding, structured for quick  disbursement and not tied up in complex red -tape, is essential to  support countries like ours, as we embark on this transformative  journey. | Also a very contextual example prescribing a Prioritarian view.  Prescribing the moral need for funding to help "countries like ours", in this case referring to LDC. |
| !!! | We call upon the developed countries that have contributed more  to the accumulation of greenhouse gas emissions, to deliver on  their promise s and increase financial support to vulnerable nations ,  to enable them undertake the necessary transitions , and build  climate -resilient societies that are critical to meeting the SDG  targets. | Could both be egalitarian, focussing on who contributed more, but essentially calling on the for support of vulnerable nations. Thus Prioritarian. |
|  | We must view climate  education as an investment in the future , which require s the effort  of all. | General normative statement on the value of climate education. No prescription of a specific distribution. |
|  | In conclusion , let us remember that climate change does not  discriminate based on nationality or wealth . | Descriptive statement with implicit egalitarian references. Does not prescribe a course of action. |
| COP28JAMAICA | We implore the global  commun ity to bolster international cooperation, facilitating the transfer of green  technologies to developing nations and call for the phase out of fossil fuel as a priority. | Mulitple topics, focus on the most important one; transfer of green tech. |
| Double principle: both egalitarian and Prioritarian | The vulnerable Small Island  Developing States, like Jamaica, bear the disproportionate burden of climate impacts.  We call for increased support to enhance our adaptive capacity, especially in the areas  of water resource management, agriculture, health and infrastructure. | Prescribing a disproportion of climate impacts and the need for increased support.  Essentially a Prioritarian distribution due to the need to help the worst off. However, mentioning the disproportion refers to a more egalitarian view. |
| ! | We emphasize the need for coherent  and comprehensive policies that integrate climate considerations across all sectors. | Labelled as egalitarian with respect to the need for balanced policies for all sectors. |
|  | In addition we urge that climate change impacts on  Oceans and Education be included in the critical areas for mainstreaming attention. | General normative statement prescribing the need to take these into account. No specific distribution preferred. |
| COP28JORDAN  ! Good example of current state of the world | So, my friends, as we meet here today to talk about inclusivity in climate  response , let’s be inclusive of the most vulnerable :  Palestinians , severely impacted by the war on Gaza. | In different context of the war, essentially a normative statement with Prioritarian foundation, including them is in their benefit.  *Could argue for egalitarian.* |
|  | Yet, just as t he impact of climate change does not take place in a  vacuum —no country’s response succeeds alone . | Primarily a normative statement, focussing on the interconnected nature of the problem. |
|  | Nor can we stand by , as the massive  destruction of a relentless war in Gaza threatens more people and holds back  progress toward a better global future. | Moral responsibility to take on action for the benefit of all, as it holds back progress  *Could argue for general normative statement.* |
|  | Current and future generations will hold us accountable. | Ethical obligation to take on action (context suggests for the benefit of others), otherwise we will be judged. |
| COP28NEWZEALAND | We back the 2050 strategy for the  Blue Pacific Continent and the leadership of the Pacific Islands Forum on climate  action. | Seen as a descriptive statement of a fact. Has the implicit normative prescription of the backing being a good thing. |

Gedachtenspinsel voor later:

De essenties van wat ik nu bepaal in de tekst geven aan wat landen belangrijk vinden en hoe die distributies eruit zien. Vaak gaat het dan om de distributie van maatregelen en geld. Maar gaat dus ook vaak om het algemeen; iets doen. Dit is compleet iets anders van hoe een egalitarain distribution op het gebied van outcomes in modellen eruit zou zien.

In ieder geval duidelijk onderscheid te maken tussen de twee verschillende soorten zodat je er ook echt conclusies over kan trekken

Het is natuurlijk ook een uitkomst als je kan aangeven dat er veel meer egalitarain in zit en het ook gaat over een verdeling van maatregelen. Het is in principe niet aan jou om te bedenken hoe dat er dan precies in komt.

Toekomst: combineren met uitkomsten van de verschillende onderwerpen die in de speeches worden genoemd. Hierbij ook leren over waarover de verschillende onderdelen precies gaan.

Er wordt qua schaal volgens mijn huidige criteria niet echt op nationaal niveau normative statements gedaan. Optie om hiervoor op een andere manier te kijken, bijvoorbeeld op gebied van NDC. Focus ligt op distribution on a global scale, which makes sense want het is een global vergadering.

Important note: essentially evaluating the performance of GPT on doing this type of evaluation. Results can be used later on as well. That makes it the interesting thing; needing to make judgement on different statements.

Belangrijk ding: eigenlijk zou je in meer detail moeten kijken naar het level waarover de normatieve statements gaan. In sommige gevallen is er een focus op bijvorbeeld nationaal policy. Nu is de aanname dat de basisprincipes genoeg zijn. Het gaat enel om het uitlichten van de princpes. Zou meeronderzoek kunnen doen naar de context waarin deze dingen naar voren komen, zeker omdat het niet altijd duidelijk is. > eigenlijk is de categorie normative statement in mijn geval nog te breed. Je zou kunnen zeggen dat het normatief moet zijn over een verdeling? We een probleem dat met iedere definitie er weer dingen uit worden gehaald.

STATEMENTS WITH DISPUTABLE NORMATIVITY

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DOCUMENT** | **STATEMENT** | **NOTES** |
| COP19JAPAN | In this context, Japan’s public and private sectors plan to invest a total of  110 billion US dollars domestically over the next five years. | Outlines a plan. Does not contain a value judgement. |
|  | I will devote myself toward the successful outcome at this COP19 . | Example of a statement of intent. Does not contain a recommendation or personal judgement |
|  | Second, Japan will promote the application of Japanese low-carbon. In so doing , we will contribute to global  emissions reductions through the use of the Joint Crediting Mechanism, or  JCM . | Prescribes an implicit way to contribute to global emissions.  But no true prescription of idea to others. There is a way to check this idea.  *Split sentences* |
| COP19NAMIBIA | As we are all aware, the African continent, like other developing regions, relies mainly on rain fed agriculture, fisheries, and tourism for its economic growth.  These resources are affected by the negative impacts of climate change.  It is for this reason that Namibia and Africa have prioritized adaptation as an approach to address climate change impacts. | Provides an explanation of the rationale of choice, not a value judgement on what should be done, urging oto prioritize adaptation. |
| !!! > mooi voorbeeld | The Green Climate Fund, which we regarded as an ideal beginning to address the finance aspect for climate change remains dry. | Sentence itself is descriptive, but in context of text is very normative in containing a judgement. |
|  |  |  |
| COP19TIMORLESTE | It is also important to identify source of long term finance that could be from public finance of Annex I country parties, as well as seeking clarity of the status of fast start financing. | Descriptive outline of what is important. |
|  | Apart from that, we request developed country parties to reduce their emissions by at least 45% below 1990 levels in 2020 and 80-95% by 2050. | Statement of fact that this is the request. |